


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
MICROSCAN SYSTEMS, INC.  
:  
:  
Plaintiff,  
:  
-v-  
:  
COGNEX CORPORATION,  
:  
Defendant.  
-----X

14-cv-6952 (JSR)

JURY'S VERDICT



LIABILITY

1. Microscan has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Cognex DataMan 7500 infringes Claims 1 and 21 of Microscan's '204 Patent:

YES (INFRINGES) ☒ NO (DOES NOT INFRINGE) ☐

2. Microscan has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Cognex DataMan 8500 infringes Claims 1 and 21 of Microscan's '204 Patent:

YES (INFRINGES) ☒ NO (DOES NOT INFRINGE) ☐

3. Cognex has proved by clear and convincing evidence that the following claims of Microscan's '204 Patent are invalid:

Claims 1 and 21 YES (INVALID) ☐ NO (NOT INVALID) ☒

Claim 10 YES (INVALID) ☐ NO (NOT INVALID) ☒

[If you find all these claims invalid, do not answer Questions 4, 5, and 6. Otherwise answer those Questions.]

DAMAGES

4. The amount of lost profits, if any, that Microscan has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that it suffered as a result of sales that Microscan would have made but for Cognex's infringement, is:

\$ \$ 0

5. For all infringing sales other than those, if any, for which Microscan has proved it is entitled to lost profits, the amount of damages that Microscan has proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that it is entitled to pursuant to a reasonable royalty rate, is:


\$ 4,410,750

LACHES

6. Cognex has proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, the defense of laches:

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO ☒ \_\_\_\_\_



\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON

Date: 4/30/2015